Radon 222 in and over shallow seas

Toshio Kataoka

Okayama Prefectural Institute for Environmental Science and Public Health, 739-1, Uchio, Minami-ku, Okayama 701-0298, Japan E-mail:HQL06124@nifty.ne.jp

Radiation Earth Science Laboratory, Nagoya, Japan 2015

Radon 222 in and over shallow seas

Toshio Kataoka Okayama Prefectural Institute for Environmental Science and Public Health, 739-1, Uchio, minami-ku, Okayama 701-0298, Japan E-mail: HQL06124@nifty.ne.jp

Abstract. The formulae for the concentration of ²²²Rn in a shallow sea and the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface were derived assuming that the seawater was well mixed vertically. The concentrations of ²²²Rn and the flux densities of ²²²Rn estimated using the formulae agree approximately with those measured. In addition, in the case of $-z_b$ (the depth of water) = 50 m and $-z_0$ (the thickness of a stagnant water film) = 45 µm, the flux densities of ²²²Rn were estimated to be 0.085 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for K_{sw}^{Rn} (the eddy diffusivity of well mixed isothermal layer) = 0.0022 m² s⁻¹ and 0.12 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and in the case of $-z_b = 100 \text{ m}$ and $-z_0 = 45 \text{ µm}$, those were estimated to be 0.041 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Furthermore, the contributions of ²²²Rn from the sea surface to the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn over the sea were 1.1 % (1.7 %) of that measured in the Seto Inland Sea and 3.4 % of that measured around Andros Island.

1. Introduction

Information on ²²²Rn at sea is considerably less than that on land. As far as we know, reports have been published on the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn over the oceans (Broecker, 1965; Mochizuki, 1978, 1982; Bonsang and Lambert, 1984; Rangarajan et al., 1984/85; Smethie et al., 1985; Hansen, et al., 1990; Miyake et al., 1990; Balkanski et al., 1992; Tanji et al., 1992; Hussain et al.,1998), on the concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater (Broecker, 1965; Broecker et al. 1967; Broecker and Peng, 1971, 1974; Peng et al., 1974, 1979; Dueñas et al., 1983; Smethie et al., 1985; Kawabata et al., 2003; Hwang et al., 2005; Saito et al., 2012), and on the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface (Hoang and Servant, 1972; Wilkening and Clements, 1975; Dueñas et al., 1983; Schery and Huang, 2004; Zahorowski et al., 2013).

In this report, first we derive the formulae for the concentration of ²²²Rn in a shallow sea and the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface. Next, we compare the concentrations of ²²²Rn and the flux densities of ²²²Rn estimated using the formulae with those measured by other investigators. Finally, we estimate the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn over the sea only due to the flux densities of ²²²Rn from the sea surface, and evaluate the contributions of the estimates to the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn measured at a position (34^o38'N, 134^o19'E) in the Seto Inland Sea and at Frazer's Hog Cay on the edge of the Great Bahama Bank.

2. Theory

2.1. A steady state mixing model

If the seawater between the sea-air and sediment-water interfaces is assumed to be well mixed vertically in a shallow sea, a sketch of the concentration profile of ²²²Rn in the sea is shown in Figure 1.

According to Broecker (1971), under the assumption of horizontal homogeneity, the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface is represented by

$$F_{Rn,sw,0} = -\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{0 - z_0} (P\alpha - C_{Rn,sw,0}).$$
(1)

Considering the atmospheric burden of ²²²Rn is very small ($C_{Rn,sw,0} \gg P\alpha$) and rewriting Equation (1), we obtain

$$F_{Rn,sw,0} = -\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} C_{Rn,sw,0} ,$$
 (2)

where $F_{Rn,sw,0}$ (atoms m⁻²) is the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface, $D_{sw,0}^{Rn}$ (m² s⁻¹) the molecular diffusivity of ²²²Rn in seawater, $-z_0$ (m, $z_0 < 0$) the thickness of a "stagnant" water film, $C_{Rn,sw,0}$ (atoms m⁻³)

T. Kataoka



Figure 1. Concentration profile of ²²²Rn in the sea.

the concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater at the base of the stagnant water film, *P* (atm) the partial pressure of ²²²Rn in the atmosphere near the sea surface, and α (atoms m⁻³ atm⁻¹) the solubility of ²²²Rn in seawater.

Assuming steady state, one-dimensional diffusion of ²²²Rn in the well mixed isothermal layer below the base of the stagnant film is represented by Fick's second law:

$$K_{sw}^{Rn} \frac{\partial^2 C_{Rn,sw}}{\partial z^2} = -\lambda (C_{Rn,sw,Ra} - C_{Rn,sw}), \qquad (3)$$

where K_{sw}^{Rn} (m² s⁻¹) is the eddy diffusivity of well mixed isothermal layer, z (m) the depth from the sea-air interface and taken as negative in the downward direction, $C_{Rn,sw}$ (atoms m⁻³) the concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater at depth z, $C_{Rn,sw,Ra}$ (atoms m⁻³) the concentration of ²²²Rn, which is in radioactive equilibrium with ²²⁶Ra, in seawater at depth z, λ (s⁻¹) the decay constant of ²²²Rn.

The amount of ²²²Rn produced in sediment per unit time is given by $\rho_{bs}A_{Ra,bs}(1-w_{bs})/100$ (atoms m⁻³ s⁻¹). Radon 222 passes through the pore water of sediment only by molecular diffusion. Hence, assuming steady state, one-dimensional diffusion of ²²²Rn in the pore water of sediment is given by Fick's second law:

$$D_{sw,0}^{Rn} \frac{\partial^2 C_{Rn,sw}}{\partial x^2} = -\{\frac{\delta_{bs} \varrho_{bs} A_{Ra,bs} (1 - w_{bs})}{100n_{bs}} - \lambda C_{Rn,sw}\}$$
(4)

where δ_{bs} is the ²²² Rn escape-to-production ratio of sediment, which is the ratio of the amount of ²²²Rn that escapes into the seawater-filled pore space relative to the amount produced in the sediment at equilibrium state, ϱ_{bs} (kg m⁻³) the bulk density, $A_{Ra,bs}$ (Bq kg⁻¹ of dry sediment) the concentration of ²²⁶Ra in solid material, w_{bs} (%) the water content (calculated as the percentage of seawater weight to the weight of the wet sediment), n_{bs} the porosity, *x* the depth from the sediment-water interface and taken as negative in the downward direction.

The continuity of the concentration requires that the concentration of 222 Rn at the base of stagnant film is equal to that at the top of the mixed layer. Since the stagnant film is at the most 1 mm thick (Bolin, 1960; Schindler et al., 1972; Broecker and Peng, 1974), -z is in fact equal to the distance below the sea surface. Considering this and solving Equations (3) and (4), we have

$$C_{Rn,sw} = C_{Rn,sw,Ra} - \left[\frac{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)}{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)} \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}} \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}} \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{$$

$$+ \left[\frac{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\frac{\delta_{bs}Q_{bs}A_{Ra,bs}(1 - w_{bs})}{100\lambda}}{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right)} - \frac{\left\{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\right\}C_{Rn,sw,Ra}}{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right)}\right]\exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{Z_{0}} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right)\left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right)\exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right)\right] \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_{b}\right)$$

$$(5)$$

Substituting Equation (5) into Equation (2), we have

$$F_{Rn,sw,0} = \frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} \left[\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}}{\left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} + \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} - n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}} + n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) - \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + 2n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) - \left(\sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + 2n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + 2n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) + 2n_{bs}\sqrt{\lambda D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) + \left(\frac{D_{sw,0}^{Rn}}{z_0} - \sqrt{\lambda K_{sw}^{Rn}}z_b\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{K_{sw}^{Rn}}}z_b\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt$$

where $-z_b$ (m, $z_b < 0$) is the depth of the sediment-water interface, namely the depth of water.

Considering measurements carried out at our observation station in the Seto Inland Sea which is a large shallow inland sea in Japan (see Section 3), we make the following seven points about our calculations using Equations (5) and (6).

- 1. The concentrations of ²²⁶Ra in surface seawater measured in the Seto Inland Sea (Okubo, 1981) are almost the same as those in the Pacific Ocean (Peng et al., 1979; Okubo et al., 1979; Ku et al., 1980; Nozaki et al., 1990), in the Sea of Japan (Harada and Tsunogai, 1986) and in Tokyo Bay (Nakano-Ohta and Sato, 2006) which is as shallow as the Seto Inland Sea. Hence, we take $C_{Rn,sw,Ra}$ to be 1.7 Bq m⁻³ which is the average concentration of ²²⁶Ra in seawater obtained in the area located about 27 km southeast from the station (Okubo, 1981) (see Figure 2).
- 2. Sediment is made up of solid and liquid material. Since the water content of sediment at the station and its surrounding region ranges from 20 to 70 % (Comprehensive Water Quality Study of the Seto Inland Sea, 2015a), we take w_{bs} , ρ_{bs} and n_{bs} to be 44.9 %, 1513 kg m⁻³ and 0.694, respectively (Nakagawa et al., 2004; Bennett and Lambert 1971).
- 3. The Seto Inland Sea sides of the Chugoku and Shikoku regions consist mainly of granite. Hence, we assume that the sediment of the Seto Inland Sea consists of the decomposed granite. Miyake et al. (1974) reported that the average concentration of 238 U (which we consider to be in radioactive equilibrium with 226 Ra) in granitic rocks was 25.9 Bq kg⁻¹ at the surrounding region of the station. From this, we take $A_{Ra,bs}$ to be 26 Bq kg⁻¹ of dry sediment.
- 4. The ²²²Rn escape-to-production ratio of decomposed granite^{*1)} is found to be about 0.4 (Barretto et al., 1974). However, soil water also contains ²²²Rn that escapes from solid. Therefore, we take δ_{bs} to be 0.5. Broecker et al. (1968) also took this value.
- 5. Since the seawater temperature of the station and its surrounding region is uniform to the sea floor in autumn and winter (The marine Meteorological Society, 2013; Comprehensive Water Quality Study of the Seto Inland Sea, 2015b), the surface mixed layer is considered to extend to the sediment-water interface. Hence, to investigate the effect of the eddy diffusivity of well mixed isothermal layer, we use values of 0.0022 and 0.02

^{*1)}The ratio of the amount of ²²²Rn that escapes into the air-filled pore space relative to the amount produced in the decomposed granite at equilibrium state.

Flux density of ²²² Rn (mBq m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Concentration of ²²² Rn in surface seawater (Bq m ⁻³)	Reference		
	8.1	Broecker (1965). Samples are taken in water 0.7-6 m deep on the Bahama Banks.		
$\frac{3.2}{(1500 \text{ atoms } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})}$		Wilkening and Clements (1975). Samples are taken 4 m offshore of Cocoanat Island in water 3.3 m deep in Hiro Bay.		
0.14 (67 atoms m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	33	Dueñas et al. (1983). Samples are taken 2 m offshore in water 2.8 m deep in Málaga Bay.		
	140 (8.6 dpm ℓ ⁻¹)	Hwang et al. (2005). Samples are taken in water 1-3 m deep in Bangdu Bay on Jeju Island.		
	20 (near the sea surface) 26 (near the sea floor)	Saito et al. (2012). Samples are taken in water 10-25 m deep in Hiuchi Nada in November.		

Table 1. Flux density of ²²²Rn and the concentration of ²²²Rn in surface seawater.

m² s⁻¹ for BOMEX composite ²²²Rn profile and composite ²²²Rn profile at ocean station Papa, respectively (Peng et al., 1974).

- 6. To investigate the effect of the water depths, we use values of 3 m (see Table 1), 11 m (see Section 3) and 20 m (see Section 3).
- 7. The film thickness $-z_0$ can hardly be more than 1 mm (Bolin, 1960), and the thickness ranging from 10 to 300 µm was observed (Broecker and Peng, 1971, 1974; Schindler et al., 1972; Peng et al., 1974,1979; Smethie et al., 1985). Therefore, in order to investigate the effect of the film thickness, we use values of 10, 35^{*2} , 45^{*3} , 100, 200 and 300 µm.

2.2. Atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn

The depth of marine atmospheric mixed layer is about 900 m, and its diurnal variation is not larger than that of atmospheric mixed layer on land (Hus, 1979; Kuribayashi et al., 2011). Hence, we make the following three points about the marine atmospheric mixed layer in order to estimate the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn over the sea only due to the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface.

- 1. Since the marine atmospheric mixed layer was observed during a period of more than 80 % of a year over the East China Sea (Kuribayashi et al., 2011), we assume that it is always present over the sea.
- 2. We assume that the background concentration of ²²²Rn in marine atmospheric mixed layer is constant.
- 3. Assuming that atmospheric conditions always remain neutral stability, we select case NNN (normal turbulence conditions) in Figure 1 in Jacobi and André (1963) as the turbulent diffusivity of marine atmospheric mixed layer. Hence, we use the profile of ²²²Rn estimated for the NNN case in Figure 2 in Jacobi and André (1963) as the concentration of ²²²Rn except for the background in marine atmospheric mixed layer.

3. Study site and Experiment

Atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn and its short-lived progeny were measured on board Marinus II maru^{*4)} (our observation station) on 15 and 16 December 1998, at the position (34^o38'N, 134^o19'E) located about 4.5 km south from Hinase Islands in the Seto Inland Sea. The location is identified by the letter OS in Figure 2. The Seto Inland Sea is about 450 km from east to west and 15-55 km from north to south, and occupies a total surface area of 19,700 km². It is bordered by the main lands of Honshu, Shikoku, Kyushu, Kii-suido Channel and Bungo-suido Channel to the Pacific Ocean, and Kanmon-kaikyo Strait to the Sea of Japan. It has a mean depth of 31 m and is

^{*2)} The film thickness calculated using a transfer velocity of 7×10^{-5} m s⁻¹ corresponding to a residence time for CO₂ in the atmosphere of about five years (Bolin, 1960).

^{*3)} The average of film thickness obtained by Broecker and Peng (1974), Peng et al. (1979) and Smethie et al. (1985).

^{*4)} The Marinus II Maru is a small craft (Length: 11.25 m, Capacity: 31 passengers) and belongs to Okayama University.



Figure 2. Map showing the location of our observation station (\bullet OS), one of the areas where the concentrations of ²²⁶Ra in seawater were measured by Okubo (1981) (\blacksquare RA) and the area where the concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawater were measured by Saito et al. (2012) (\blacksquare RN).

dotted with more than 700 islands (The Marine Meteorological Society, 2013). The observation station has a water depth of about 11 m.

We assume that, although atmospheric conditions are different between the station and Nagoya University, the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn except for the background at the station is due to ²²²Rn exhaled from the section within 80 km radius (mainly within 40 km radius) from the station (Sakashita et al., 1996). Nearly half of the section is covered by the sea, the average water depth of which is about 20 m.

The atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn was measured with a ²²²Rn monitor (Pylon Electronics Inc., Model PMT-TEL with Model AB-5). Air was drawn through a vessel having desiccant (phosphorus pentoxide) to remove humidity, a Whatman® glass microfiber filter (Grade GF/F) to remove all activity except for gaseous radon, and a chamber for measurement at a flow rate of $1.3 \ \ell \ min^{-1}$. When the radon-bearing air moves through the chamber, it undergoes decay to progeny. Alpha particles emitted from the nuclei of ²²²Rn and its short-lived progeny in the chamber were counted with a ZnS (Ag) scintillation detector.

The atmospheric concentration of short-lived ²²²Rn progeny (the equilibrium-equivalent concentration of ²²²Rn, EC_{Rn}), which will hereafter be designated as the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}), was measured with a WL monitor (Tracerlab Instruments, Model WLM-200-plus). Air was drawn through a membrane filter of 0.8 μ m pore size and 47 mm diameter (Advantec Toyo Co. Ltd.) at a flow rate of 1.5 ℓ min⁻¹. Alpha particles emitted from the nuclei of short-lived ²²²Rn progeny on the filter were counted with a silicon surface barrier detector set in front of the filter.

These Instruments were installed on the small craft by the author, Dr. Eiji Yunoki (Okayama Prefectural Institute for Environmental Science and Public Health), and Dr. Munetoshi Kanayama (Okayama University) (see Figure 3). When the instruments were installed, they were protected from sea sprays.

4. Results and discussion

Figure 4 shows the concentration profiles of ²²²Rn in seawater estimated using Equation (5) and 7 points in Section 2.1. The concentration profiles of ²²²Rn in Figures 4 (a), (b) and (c) were estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022$ m² s⁻¹, and those in Figures 4 (d), (e) and (f) were done using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02$ m² s⁻¹. In the case of $-z_b = 3$ m, the



Figure 3. A sketch of our observation station.

concentrations of ²²²Rn estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for each $-z_0$ were almost the same as those estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the same $-z_0$, and they were almost constant with z. In the case of $-z_b = 11 \text{ m}$, the concentrations of ²²²Rn estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for each $-z_0$ were almost constant with z, but those estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ increased with an increase in $-z_0$ were almost constant with z, but those estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ increased with an increase in -z. In addition, we note that, in the case of $-z_b = 11 \text{ m}$, the concentrations of ²²²Rn near the sea surface estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for each $-z_0$ were higher than those estimated using $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the same $-z_0$. The case of $-z_b = 20 \text{ m}$ had the same tendency as the case of $-z_b = 11 \text{ m}$.

Taking $-z_0 = 100 \ \mu m^{*5}$ and $\delta_{bs} \varrho_{bs} A_{Ra,bs} (1 - w_{bs})/100 = 2.9 \times 10^3 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$ (four times as large as that assumed by Broecker (1965) in considering the following discharge of coastal groundwater), the concentration of ²²²Rn in surface seawater is found to be almost the same as the average concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater measured on the Bahama Banks (Broecker, 1965) (see Table 1), and is also found to be within the range of our estimates shown in Figures 4 (a) and (d). Furthermore, the concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawater at a position 2 m offshore of the coast of Málaga Bay (Dueñas et al., 1983) (see Table 1) are also found to be within the range of our estimates shown in Figures 4 (a) and (d). The concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawaters in Bangdu Bay on Jeju Island (Hwang et al., 2005) (see Table 1) were higher than those measured by Broecker (1965) and Dueñas et al. (1983) and those estimated here (see Figure 4). As pointed out by Hwang et al. (2005), this may be due to a relatively large discharge of coastal groundwater in which the concentrations of ²²²Rn were two to three-fold higher than those in seawater. Contrary to this, in Hiuchi Nada in the Seto Inland Sea (about 130 km southwest from the observation station, see Figure 2), the concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawaters, which were elevated by mixing with groundwater and/or river water, are within the range of our estimates. Considering that the concentrations of ²²²Rn in groundwater and in river water are higher than that in seawater and considering that the concentration of ²²²Rn in groundwater and in river water are higher than that in seawater and considering the difference in the concentration of ²²⁶Ra in sediment and the ²²²Rn escape-to-production ratio of sediment, our estimates might be reasonable.

Taking $A_{Ra,bs} = 26$ Bq kg⁻¹ (0.7 pg g⁻¹, in the volcanic ash soil of Mauna Loa (Wilkening, 1974)), $D_{sw,0}^{Rn} = 1.43 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for a seawater temperature of 26.5 °C (near Hiro Bay (Peng et al., 1979)), $-z_0 = 45 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ *}^{6}$, and selecting points 1, 2 and 4 in Section 2.1 as the other conditions, the concentration of 222 Rn in surface seawater and the flux density of 222 Rn from the sea surface in Hiro Bay are estimated to be 16 Bq m⁻³ (7.5×10⁶ atoms m⁻³) from Equation (5) and 0.50 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ (240 atoms m⁻² s⁻¹) from Equation (6), respectively. The estimated flux density of 222 Rn is about one sixth of that measured by Wilkening and Clements (1975) (see Table 1). Even if $-z_0$ varies from 10 to 300 μ m, the estimated values range from 0.26 ($-z_0 = 300\mu$ m) to 0.58 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ ($-z_0 = 10\mu$ m). The difference between the estimated and measured values may be due to a relatively large

^{*5})This value was assumed by Broecker (1965) and is considered to be reasonable in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans (Peng et al., 1979).

^{*6)}For $-z_b = 3$ m, the concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater in the case of $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02$ m² s⁻¹ is almost the same as that in the case of $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022$ m² s⁻¹.



Figure 4. Vertical profiles of ²²²Rn. : 10 µm, : 35 µm, : 45 µm, : 100 µm, : 200 µm, : 300 µm, (a) $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 3 \text{ m}, (b) K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 11 \text{ m}, (c) K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 20 \text{ m}, (d) K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 3 \text{ m}, (e) K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 11 \text{ m}, (f) K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, -z_b = 20 \text{ m}.$

discharge of coastal groundwater like Bangdu Bay. To our deep regret, Wilkening and Clements (1975) lacking the measured concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawater, we can not discuss this any further.

In Málaga Bay, although the concentrations of ²²²Rn in seawater were high, the flux densities of ²²²Rn from the sea surface were almost the same as those from the open ocean surface (Wilkening and Clements, 1975). The film thickness $-z_0$ was estimated to be 270 µm using the data two-thirds of which were obtained in the case of a

wind velocity of less than 3.1 m s⁻¹. Considering that a film thickness of 295 μ m was obtained in the case of a wind velocity of less than 3 m s⁻¹ (Schindler et al., 1972; Broecker and Peng, 1974), the concentrations of ²²²Rn and the flux densities of ²²²Rn obtained in Málaga Bay may be reasonable.

Large shallow seas are almost coupled with continental shelves (e.g., the Chukchi, East Siberian, Laptev, Kara and Barents shelves and so on by which one-third of the total area of the Arctic Ocean is underlain, the northwest European shelf including the North Sea (having an average water depth of 90 m) and the Baltic Sea (having an average water depth of 55 m), the Gulf of Carpentaria (having a maximum water depth of 70 m), Hudson Bay (having an average water depth of about 100 m), the Gulf of Thailand (having an average water depth of 45 m), the Java Sea (having an average water depth of 46 m), the East China Sea shelf (the part where the depth of water is less than 100 m), and the South China Sea shelf (the part where the depth of water is less than 100 m)). The continental shelf zones comprise 7.6 % of the surface area of the world oceans (Sverdrup et al., 1942). Using Equation (6), the five points except for points 6 and 7 in Section 2.1 and $-z_0 = 45 \ \mu m^{*3}$, the flux densities of ²²²Rn in the case of $-z_b = 50$ m were estimated to be 0.085 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022$ m² s⁻¹ and 0.12 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and those in the case of $-z_b = 100 \text{ m}$ were estimated to be 0.041 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.076 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ for $K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. When we take the average flux density of ²²²Rn for $-z_b = 50$ m to be 0.10 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ and that for $-z_b = 100$ m to be 0.059 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹, it is found that, except for the South China Sea shelf and part of the East China Sea shelf, the flux densities of ²²²Rn from large shallow seas estimated by Schery and Huang (2004) are smaller than our estimates. It may also be important for defining the background concentrations of ²²²Rn in marine atmospheric mixed layer to know accurately the flux densities of ²²²Rn from large shallow seas.

Let us estimate the concentrations of ²²²Rn in marine surface air due to the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface. As mentioned in Section 3, the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn except for the background at our observation station is assumed to be due to ²²²Rn exhaled from the section within 80 km radius (mainly within 40 km radius) from the station, and nearly half of the section is covered by the sea, the average water depth of which is about 20 m. Furthermore, in the case of $-z_b = 20$ m, the flux densities of ²²²Rn estimated using Equation (6) varied from 0.042 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ ($K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.0022 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $-z_0 = 300 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$) to 0.41 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹ ($K_{sw}^{Rn} = 0.02 \text{ }\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $-z_0 = 300 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$) = 10 μ m). The estimates range from 0.6 % to 6.0 % of that measured on land near the station (6.81 mBq m⁻² s⁻¹, Kataoka et al. (2001)). Taking these facts into consideration, the estimated contributions of nearly half of the section to the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn at the station (about 3 m above the sea) varied from 0.004 to 0.044 Bq m⁻³. Table 2 gives the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn and ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}) and the ratios of the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}) to the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn (the ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn})/²²²Rn ratios) measured at the observation station. In addition, Figure 5 shows time variation of the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn and ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}) and the ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn})/ ²²²Rn ratio obtained at a very small part of Okayama City, Uchio located about 12 km north of the coast of the Seto Inland Sea and about 4 km west of the lake made from a part of the creek of the Inland Sea. The atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn and 222 Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}) and the 222 Rn progeny (EC_{Rn})/ 222 Rn ratios measured at the station were almost the same as those measured in the daytime at Uchio. The atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn measured at the station were also almost the same as those obtained in the daytime at a very small part of Kasaoka City, Kabutonishi Town which is located about 3 km north of the coast of the Inland Sea and about 1 km north-north east of a cove (New Kasaoka Port) (Kataoka et al., 2012). Therefore, the largest contribution (0.044 Bq m⁻³) is 1.1 % of the smaller value of the atmospheric ²²²Rn concentrations measured at the station (4.06 Bq m⁻³) and 1.7 % of the smallest value measured on land (Uchio) near the station during the daytime (2.5 Bq m^{-3} , see Figure 5(a)).

Similarly, taking the average water depth of the Great Bahama Bank to be 13 m, at a position (corresponding to our observation station) located about 60 km west from the southern tip of Andros Island, the contribution of

Date	Time (JST)	Concentration of ²²² Rn (Bq m ⁻³)	Time (JST)	Concentration of ²²² Rn progeny (EC _{Rn}) (Bq m ⁻³)	²²² Rn progeny (EC _{Rn})/ ²²² Rn ratio
15 December 1998	1349-1449	4.06±0.27	1400-1500	2.8±0.4	0.69±0.11
	1449-1549	4.18±0.27	1500-1600	2.5±0.3	0.60±0.08
16 December 1998			1100-1200	5.8±0.8	
	1151-1251	5.25±0.32	1200-1300	4.8±0.5	0.91±0.11

Table 2 Concentrations of ²²²Rn and its progeny, and their ratio on the Inland Sea.



Figure 5. Time variation of (a) the concentrations of ²²²Rn and ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn}) and (b) the ²²²Rn progeny (EC_{Rn})/²²²Rn ratio.

²²²Rn exhaled from the sea surface of the section within 80 km radius from the position to the atmospheric concentration of ²²²Rn over the sea is about 3.4 % of that measured at Frazer's Hog Cay on the eastern tip of Chub Cay, in the southern Berry Islands of Bahamas (Broecker, 1965).

5. Conclusions

The concentrations of ²²²Rn in shallow seas and the flux densities of ²²²Rn from the sea surface estimated assuming that the seawater was well mixed vertically agree approximately with those measured. However, it is noted that, in order to represent advection by groundwater and river water, three-dimensional (3D) flow and dispersion model should be used instead of one-dimensional (1D) flow and dispersion model.

Except for the South China Sea shelf and part of the East China Sea shelf, the flux densities of ²²²Rn from large shallow seas estimated by Schery and Huang (2004) were smaller than those estimated in this paper. Furthermore, roughly estimated, the contributions of ²²²Rn from the sea surface to the atmospheric concentrations of ²²²Rn over the sea were 1.1 % (1.7 %) of that measured in the Seto Inland Sea and 3.4 % of that measured around Andros Island. Hence, we believe from these results that the flux densities of ²²²Rn from shallow seas are necessary to be re-estimated and that those in the source term of ²²²Rn used for atmospheric modeling are also necessary to be modified.

To our deep regret, Broecker (1965) lacked the measured flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface. As far as we know, regarding shallow seas, there are no reports containing a set of measured data on the atmospheric

concentration of ²²²Rn over the sea, the flux density of ²²²Rn from the sea surface, the concentration of ²²²Rn in seawater, the concentration of ²²²Rn in sediment pore water and the ²²⁶Ra concentration in sediment, the ²²²Rn escape-to-production ratio of sediment, and the concentrations of ²²²Rn in groundwater and in river water. Hence, it is highly desirable to accumulate such datasets in shallow seas.

Acknowledgement

The Author thanks members of Ushimado Marine Institute, Okayama University who arranged Marinus II Maru for the experiments in this study.

References

- Balkanski, Y. J., Jacob, D. J., Arimoto, R., and Kritz, M. A.: 1992, 'Distribution of ²²²Rn over the North Pacific: Implications for Continental Influences', J. Atmos. Chem. 14, 353-374.
- Barretto, P. M. C., Clark, R. B., and Adams, J. A. S.: 1974, 'Physical Characteristics of Radon-222 Emanation from Rocks, Soils and Minerals: Its Relation to Temperature and Alpha Dose', in, *The Natural Radiation Environment II* [Adams, J. A. S., Lowder, W. M., and Gesell, T. F. (eds.)], USERDA Report CONF-720805-P2, pp.731-740.
- Bennett, R. H. and Lambert, D. N.: 1971, 'Rapid and Reliable Technique for Determining Unit Weight and Porosity of Deep -Sea Sediments', *Marine Geol.* 11, 201-207.
- Bolin, B.: 1960, 'On the Exchange of Carbon Dioxide between the Atmosphere and the Sea', Tellus 12, 274-281.
- Bonsang, B. and Lambert, G.: 1985, 'Nonmethane Hydrocarbons in an Oceanic Atmosphere', J. Atom. Chem. 2, 257-271.
- Broecker, W. S.: 1965, 'An Application of Natural Radon to Problems in Ocean Circulation', in *Proc. Sym. on Diffusion in Oceans and Fresh Waters* [Ichiye, T. (ed.)], Lamont Geological Observatory, Palisades, New York, pp. 116-145.
- Broecker, W. S., Li., Y.-H., and Cromwell, J.: 1967, 'Radium-226 and Radon-222: Concentration in Atlantic and Pacific Oceans', *Science* **158**, 1307-1310.
- Broecker, W. S., Cromwell, J., and Li., Y.-H.: 1968, 'Rates of Vertical Eddy Diffusion near the Ocean Floor Based on Measurements of the Distribution of Excess ²²²Rn', *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **5**, 101-105.
- Broecker, W. S. and Peng, T.-H.: 1971, 'The Vertical Distribution of Radon in the Bomex Area', Earth Planet. Sci. Let. 11, 99-108.

Broecker, W. S. and Peng, T.-H.: 1974, 'Gas Exchange Rates between Air and Sea', Tellus 26, 21-35.

- Comprehensive Water Quality Study of the Seto Inland Sea: 2015a, http://www.pa.cgr.mlit.go.jp/chiki/suishitu/suihei/teisitu/index.htm (in Japanese).
- Comprehensive Water Quality Study of the Seto Inland Sea: 2015b, http://www.pa.cgr.mlit.go.jp/chiki/suishitu/ entyokubunpu/index.htm (in Japanese).
- Dueñas, C., Fernandez, M. C., and Martinez, M. P.: 1983, 'Radon 222 from the Ocean Surface', J. Geophys. Res. 88(C13), 8613-8616.
- Hansen, A. D. A., Artz, R. S., Pszenny, A. A. P., and Larson, R. E.: 1990, 'Aerosol Black Carbon and Radon as Tracers for Air Mass Origin over the North Atlantic Ocean', *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **4**(2), 189-199.
- Harada, K. and Tsunogai, S.: 1986, ²²⁶Ra in the Japan Sea and the Residence Time of the Japan Sea Water', *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **77**, 236-244.
- Hoang, C.-T. and Servant, J.: 1972, 'Radon Flux from the Sea', C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. D 274, 3157-3160 (in French).
- Hsu, S. A.: 1979, 'An Operational Forecasting Model for the Variation of Mean Maximum Mixing Heights across the Coastal Zone', *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.* **16**, 93-98.
- Hussain, N., Church, T.M., and Véron, A. J.: 1998, 'Radon Daughter Disequilibria and Lead Systematics in the Western North Atlantic', J. Geophys. Res. 103(D13), 16059-16071.
- Hwang, D.-W., Lee, Y.-W., and Kim, G.: 2005, 'Large Submarine Groundwater Discharge and Benthic Eutrophication in Bangdu Bay on Volcanic Jeju Island, Korea', *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **50**(5), 1393-1403.
- Jacobi, W. and André, K.: 1963, 'The Vertical Distribution of Radon 222, Radon 220 and Their Decay Products in the Atmosphere', J. *Geophys. Res.* 68, 3799-3814.
- Kataoka, T., Yunoki, E., Shimizu, M., Mori, T., Tsukamoto, O., Ohashi, Y., Sahashi, K., Maitani, T., Miyashita, K., Iwata, T., Fujikawa, Y., Kudo, A., and Shaw, R. H.: 2001, 'A Study of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Using Radon and Air Pollutants as Tracers', *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.* 101, 131-155.
- Kataoka, T., Yunoki, E., Shimizu, M., Mori, T., Tsukamoto, O., Takahashi, S., Fudeyasu, H., Iwata, T., Ohashi, Y., Sahashi, K., Maitani, T., Miyashita, K., Sasaki, T., Fujikawa, Y., Kudo, A., and Shaw, R. H.: 2012, 'An Aid to the Study of the Behavior of Radon and Air Pollutants in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer', in *Proc. 13th Int. Con. IRPA (IRPA13)*, Glasgow, UK, P-10.79-1–P-10.79-10.
- Kawabata, H., Narita, H., Harada, K., Tsunogai, S., and Kusakabe, M.: 2003, 'Air-Sea Gas Transfer Velocity in Stormy Winter Estimated from Radon Deficiency', J. Oceanogr. 59(5), 651-661.
- Ku, T. L., Huh, C. A., and Chen, P. S.: 1980, 'Meridional Distribution of ²²⁶Ra in the Eastern Pacific along GEOSECS Cruise Tracks', *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 49, 293-308.

- Kuribayashi, M., Ohara, T., and Shimizu, A.: 2011, 'Temporal Variation and Vertical Structure of the Marine Atmospheric Mixed Layer over the East China Sea from Mie-Scattering Lidar Data', *SOLA* 7, 189-192, doi:10.2151/sola.2011-048.
- Miyake, H., Matsuda, Y., Shitashima, K., and Tsubota, H.: 1990, 'PIXE Analysis of Marine Environmental Samples from the Pacific Ocean', in *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. on Advanced Nuclear Energy Research*, Mito, Japan, pp. 608-613.
- Miyake, Y., Sugimura, Y., and Hirao, Y.: 1974, 'Uranium, Thorium, and Potassium Contents in Granitic and Basaltic Rocks in Japan', in *The Natural Radiation Environment II* [Adams, J. A. S., Lowder, W. M., and Gesell, T. F. (eds.)], USERDA Report CONF-720805-P2, pp. 535-558.
- Mochizuki, S.: 1978, 'Comparison of Natural Atmospheric Radioactivity Appeared over Hachijo Island and Miyake Island in the Early Spring', J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn. 56, 52-56.
- Mochizuki, S.: 1982, 'Radon and Its Daughters in the Maritime Atmosphere near Japan Islands', J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn. 60, 787-796.
- Nakagawa, Y., Watanabe, K., Tanigawa, S., and Kuroda, Y.: 2004, 'Understanding of Vertical Distribution Characteristics of Sediment Density near the Surface of the Sea Floor', *J. Coastal Eng.* **51**, 986-990 (in Japanese).
- Nakano-Ohta, T. and Sato. J.: 2006, 'Determination of ²²⁸Ra and ²²⁶Ra in Seawater Collected on Manganese-Impregnated Acrylic Fiber', *RADIOISOTOPES* **55**(8), 443-449.
- Nozaki, Y., Kasemsupaya, V., and Tsubota, H.: 1990, 'The Distribution of ²²⁸Ra and ²²⁶Ra in the Surface Waters of the Northern North Pacific', *Geochem. J.* 24, 1-6.
- Okubo, T., Furuyama, K., and Sakanoue, M.: 1979, 'Distribution of ²²⁸Ra in Surface Sea Water of the East Indian Ocean', *Geochem. J.* **13**, 201-206.
- Okubo, T.: 1981, '228Ra in Surface Water of the Seto Inland Sea', J. Oceanogr. Soc. Jpn. 37, 279-286.
- Peng, T.-H., Takahashi, T., and Broecker, W. S.: 1974, 'Surface Radon Measurements in the North Pacific Ocean Station Papa', J. Geophys. Res. 79(12), 1772-1780.
- Peng, T.-H, Broecker, W. S., Mathieu, G. G., and Li, Y.-H.: 1979, 'Radon Evasion Rates in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans as Determined During the Geosecs Program', J. Geophys. Res. 84(C5), 2471-2486.
- Rangarajan, C., Subramanian, S.K., and Eapen, C. D.: 1984/85, 'Results of the Studies of Monsoon Circulation with Radon as a Tracer', *PAGEOPH* **122**, 124-132.
- Saito, M., Onodera, S., Guo, X., Onishi, K., Shimizu, Y., Yoshikawa, M., Jin, G., Tokumasu, M., and Takeoka, H.: 2012, 'Seasonal Variation of the ²²²Rn Concentration in the Central Part of the Seto Inland Sea, Japan', in *Interdisciplinary Studies on Environmental Chemistry Vol. 6: Advanced Environmental Studies by Young Scientists* [Kawaguchi, M., Misaki, K., Sato, H., Yokokawa, T., Itai, T., Nguyen, T. M., Ono, J., and Tanabe, S. (eds.)], TERRAPUB, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 339-344.
- Sakashita, T., Murakami, T., Iida, T., Ikebe, Y., Chino, M., and Suzuki, K.: 1996, 'Simulation of Diurnal Variation of Atmospheric ²²²Rn Concentrations with Three-Dimensional Atmospheric Dispersion Model', *J. Health Phys.* **31**(2), 161-168 (in Japanese).
- Schery, S. D. and Huang, S.: 2004, 'An Estimate of the Global Distribution of Radon Emissions from the Ocean', *Geophys, Res. Lett.* **31**, L19104.
- Schindler, D. W., Brunskill, G. J., Emerson, S., Broecker, W. S., and Peng, T.-H.:1972, 'Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide: Its Role in Maintaining Phytoplankton Standing Crops', *Science* 177, 1192-1194.
- Smethie, Jr., W. M., Takahashi, T., and Chipman, D. W.: 1985, 'Gas Exchange and CO₂ Flux in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean Determined from ²²²Rn and pCO₂ Measurements', J. Geophys. Res. 90(C4), 7005-7022.
- Sverdrup, H. U., Johnson, M. W., and Fleming, R. H.: 1942, 'The Oceans: Their Physics, Chemistry, and General Biology', Prentice-Hall, New York, 1087pp.
- Tanji, T., Okino, M., Sugioka, I., and Mochizuki, S.: 1992, 'Radon and Its Daughters in the Atmosphere over the Equatorial Pacific Ocean', *Radiat. Prot. Dosim.* 45(1/4), 399-401.
- The Marine Meteorological Society: 2013, 'Meteorology and Oceanography of the Seto Inland Sea', The Marine Meteorological Society, Kobe, Japan, 209pp. (in Japanese).
- Wilkening, M. H.: 1974, 'Radon-222 from the Island of Hawaii: Deep Soils Are More Important than Lava Fields or Volcanoes', *Science* 183, 413-415.
- Wilkening, M. H. and Clements, W. E.: 1975, 'Radon 222 from the Ocean Surface', J. Geophys. Res. 80(27), 3828-3830
- Zahorowski, W., Griffiths, A.D., Chambers, S. D., Williams, A. G., Law, R. M., Crawford, J., and Werczynski, S.: 2013, 'Constraining Annual and Seasonal Radon-222 Flux Density from the Southern Ocean Using Radon-222 Concentrations in the Boundary Layer at Cape Grim', *Tellus* **65B**, 19622-19639.